

AARON D. FORD
Attorney General
DAVID A. BAILEY, Bar No. 13661
Deputy Attorney General
State of Nevada
100 N. Carson Street
Carson City, NV 89701-4717
Tel: (775) 684-1163
E-mail: dabailey@ag.nv.gov

*Attorneys for Defendants
Renee Baker, Scott Davis, and
Harold Wickham*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

BRETT DOYLE,

Plaintiff,

vs.

STATE OF NEVADA, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:19-cv-00725-MMD-CSD

**ORDER GRANTING
DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR
EXTENSION OF TIME TO SUBMIT
DISPOSITIVE MOTION
(First Request)**

Defendants Renee Baker, Scott Davis, and Harold Wickham (collectively the “NDOC Defendants”), by and through counsel, Aaron D. Ford, Attorney General of the State of Nevada, and David A. Bailey, Deputy Attorney General, hereby request a 30-day extension of time, to March 14, 2022, to file a dispositive motion.¹ The present motion is based on Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(b)(1)(A), LR 1A 6-1, LR 26-3, the following Memorandum of Points and Authorities, and all papers and pleadings on file in this case.

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I. INTRODUCTION AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY

This is a pro se prisoner civil rights action brought by inmate Plaintiff Brett Doyle, concerning events that allegedly took place at the Lovelock Correctional Center, asserting claims arising under 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

¹ Thirty days following February 11, 2022 is Sunday, March 13, 2022, so the requested deadline is adjusted to the next Court business day.

On October 14, 2021, this Court entered a Scheduling Order. ECF No. 26. The discovery cut off was January 12, 2022, and the dispositive motions are to be filed by February 9, 2022. *Id.* at 5-6. Discovery is complete. Defendants now file this extension of time requesting this Court grant an additional 30 days to file their dispositive motion.

II. ARGUMENT

Courts have inherent powers to control their dockets, *see Ready Transp., Inc. v. AAR Mfg, Inc.*, 627 F.3d 402, 404 (citations omitted), and to “achieve the orderly and expeditious disposition of cases.” *Chambers v. NASCO, Inc.*, 501 U.S. 32, 43 (1991). “Such power is indispensable to the court’s ability to enforce its orders, manage its docket, and regulate insubordinate . . . conduct.” *See Wallace v. U.S.A.A. Life General Agency, Inc.*, 862 F. Supp. 2d 1062, 1068 (D. Nev. Sept. 30, 2010) (*citing Mazzeo v. Gibbons*, No. 2:08–cv01387–RLH–PAL, 2010 WL 3910072, at *2 (D.Nev.2010)).

LR IA 6-1 discusses requests for continuances. The rule states:

(a) A motion or stipulation to extend time must state the reasons for the extension requested and must inform the court of all previous extensions of the subject deadline the court granted. (Examples: “This is the first stipulation for extension of time to file motions.” “This is the third motion to extend time to take discovery.”)

This is the first request and is requested for good cause. *See* LR 26-3. Counsel entered his appearance on behalf of the NDOC Defendants on January 21, 2022. *See* ECF No. 31. Despite diligent research and analysis of the nuances of both First Amendment law and, especially, the Religious Land Use and Institutionalized Persons Act of 2000 (“RLUIPA”), 42 U.S.C. § 20000cc et seq., Counsel requires additional time to properly present cogent arguments addressing the allegations Plaintiff raises in his Complaint.

The NDOC Defendants’ request will not hinder nor prejudice Plaintiff’s prosecution of his case. The requested 30-day extension of time is needed to allow Counsel to prepare an appropriate motion. The NDOC Defendants assert that the requisite good cause is present to warrant the requested extension of time, and that this request is made in good faith and not for the purpose of delay.

///

1 **III. CONCLUSION**

2 The NDOC Defendants request this Court extend the deadline for dispositive motions
3 in this matter. The NDOC Defendants assert that the requisite good cause is present to
4 warrant the requested extension of time. The request is timely. Therefore, the NDOC
5 Defendants request additional time, up until **March 14, 2022**, to file a dispositive motion in
6 this matter.

7 DATED this 9th day of February 2022.

8 AARON D. FORD
9 Attorney General

10 By: /s/ David A. Bailey
11 DAVID A. BAILEY, Bar No. 13661
Deputy Attorney General

12 *Attorneys for Defendants*
13 *Renee Baker, Scott Davis, and*
Harold Wickham

14
15 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

16 
17 U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

18 **DATED:** February 10, 2022
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28